

Morgan Dorner

From: Derek SELLERS <dereksellers@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2024 10:23 PM
To: comdev
Subject: Clarification in response on transportation survey

On the transportation survey I had a limited number of things I could select as a priority and so I pushed hard for walking and biking and public transportation as things the city should focus on. As an EV owner though I do have some insight on the topic of chargers that I think would be useful to consider.

When we're talking about specifically public EV chargers, we usually are talking about level 2 chargers (220V ones that take a number of hours to charge up a car) or level 3 chargers (350-800V chargers that take 15-45 minutes to charge a car depending on if the car can handle higher voltages and how big the battery is)

The level 2 ones are pretty low complexity, about the same as putting in a special outlet for a drier or an electric range, or a power tool, and most of us with single family homes can easily get that permitted and installed ourselves, and we generally prefer to plug in while we're at home anyway and just pay low electricity rates to PSE rather than pay marked up pricing to level 3 charger system operators.

I don't personally see the utility of putting level 3 chargers in Edgewood. Those are generally for people going on long road trips where they can't get to their destination and back home in a single go, and those kind of chargers are best placed near freeway exits or on major arterials where there is also abundant shopping or eating establishments that the person can occupy themselves with for the 15-45 minutes it takes their car to charge. If anything, I would say the Serene Lake shopping center in Milton would be a good place for a few of those chargers but nowhere in the limits of Edgewood really.

Unless the city of Edgewood wants to build a shopping center/strip mall geared toward people passing through on Meridian rather than catering to residents then I would say don't bother with the level 3 charge stations, and don't just put level 3 stations at city hall or at the bank where there's nothing to occupy someone's attention for several minutes either.

On the other hand, I think the biggest need for Edgewood residents who want to electrify their transport but cannot put in their own chargers is in would be residents of the multi family housing developments along Meridian. Something will need to be done to accommodate those residents.

Lots of access to public transportation and other modes of transport is huge for those developments, which is one of the reasons I chose to highlight those especially in my response to the survey. Still, many of them will want to buy plug in hybrids and fully electric cars, but will not have a convenient place to plug in.

I think the answer there may need to be some sort of incentive or some sort of regulation requiring multifamily housing developments to supply some baseline level of access to level 2 chargers at dedicated parking spaces on their properties.

Another interesting idea might be something akin to an EV parking garage along Meridian, with level 2 chargers available for people living in multi family homes to use overnight and folks entering the city to work to use during the day. With vehicle to grid charging capabilities coming online this year, it also could be an interesting thing that could help PSE with peak usage shaving and provide some additional resilience to public and commercial buildings that share a grid with this kind of parking lot.

The city of Utrecht in the Netherlands pioneered this type of parking structure for EVs, if it sounds interesting I recommend watching https://youtu.be/L_BYDKz3_Jg?si=pgEaGIWgvsfGctrJ

In any case, I think that if Edgewood considers doing anything with EVs at all, those are the kinds of things worth doing.

Regards,
Derek Sellers

Sent from my iPhone

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.

Morgan Dorner

From: City Hall
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2024 8:07 AM
To: comdev
Subject: FW: Draft Energy Plan

Categories: Morgan

Received via city hall email.



Jennifer Bartelson | Office Manager

Direct: 253-392-2428 | City Hall: 253-952-3299

NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this e-mail, in whole or in part, may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party

From: Derek SELLERS <dereksellers@outlook.com>
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2024 1:37 AM
To: City Hall <cityhall@cityofedgewood.org>
Subject: Draft Energy Plan

I read the draft energy element of the comprehensive plan attached to the most recent city council meeting minutes and I must say I am quite impressed with the way it went about addressing energy conservation/ independence and mitigating climate change! As an enthusiast (as opposed to an expert) on the topic, I have a few points of feedback I would like to put forward for the council's consideration:

Goal E.2 - 100% love this, love everything in the transportation element for making the city more walkable and bike-able. If 402 came more than once an hour it would actually be a useful route, and I really hope Pierce County Transit agrees with me by the time the South Federal Way light rail station comes online. In terms of what the city has control over, I think one council member recently mentioned a connector shuttle bus for physically impaired folks to get around the city, I think that idea definitely has merit if it's a "yes and" solution to getting folks to the town center in addition to all the fabulous biking and walking trail plans!

Goal E.3 - Another relatively simple thing the city might consider is adding an outlet to the base of street lamps near on-street parking or on public facilities. Most EV owners carry a charger with them that can trickle charge from a regular wall outlet. This would be invaluable for anyone who has an EV and lives in a multi-family facility without dedicated EV charging.

Goal E.4 - I would like to suggest adding a bullet that encourages on-site energy storage as well, be it residential or commercial scale. Coming hot topics will include siting of large grid-scale energy storage facilities in industrial and commercial zones, and tying that together with electric vehicles and in-home

battery backup facilities into "virtual power plants" that allow individuals and businesses to make a little extra money by sharing power they've stored on site with their neighbors and taking pressure off PSE's infrastructure during peak usage times. It would behoove the City if the comp plan to mentioned streamlining the permitting process for this novel kind of energy infrastructure, because its likely going to take off as a big deal before the next time the city revisits their plan.

Goal E.5d - I love this! I would expand on it with verbiage to the effect of encouraging placement of 220v circuits in any new off-street parking facilities on single-family residences and requiring a minimum percentage of parking spots with EV chargers for multi-family parking lots.

Goal E.6 - It would be good to mention mitigations of climate change impacts that community members would particularly be vulnerable to. Such as:

- Scaling up surface water drainage requirements and mitigation efforts due higher chance of flooding from increased rainfall
- Re-evaluate landslide risk based on updated climate models
- Creating materials or having a program to assist homeowners with managing undergrowth on their properties and implementing defensive landscaping techniques to help protect their homes from wildfire during dry months
- Encourage installation of home air and water filtration systems to handle smokey summers and increased likelihood of waterborne pathogens
- Provide cooling centers for those without central HVAC or are without power during excessive heat events

Regards,
Derek Sellers

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.



Outlook

Citizen Input on Edgewood Comprehensive Plan

From John Brauneis <john.brauneis@gmail.com>
Date Wed 10/23/2024 8:17 PM
To Jeremy Metzler <jeremy@cityofedgewood.org>

*This message is from an External Sender

Sir,

I reside at 4122 Caldwell Rd E and would like to voice my opposition to opening Caldwell Rd E up to West Valley HWY E. Caldwell Rd E is not suited to serve as an artery into Edgewood. Unlike Edgewood Drive E, Caldwell Rd E does not have adequate shoulders and is very narrow. The neighborhood enjoys limited traffic and as a result is the go to walking trail in the area serving dozens of residents, young and old alike. This neighborhood also has more than a dozen school aged children that are able to ride their bikes freely on Caldwell Rd E without fear of being run down by pass through commuter traffic, like on 122nd. Finally, access from Caldwell Rd E directly to West Valley HWY E will remove a natural barrier that protects Edgewood residents from the higher crime found in the valley.

Please protect our community from this bad idea, the Caldwell Rd E expansion. Thank you for your service to our community and may God bless you and guide your decisions.

Kindest Regards,
JOHN BRAUNEIS
4122 Caldwell Rd. E
Edgewood, WA 98372
(253) 455-0699

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.



Outlook

Long Term Intersection Projects - I02

From John Brauneis <john.brauneis@gmail.com>

Date Thu 10/24/2024 3:25 PM

To Jeremy Metzler <jeremy@cityofedgewood.org>

 2 attachments (52 KB)

Screenshot 2024-10-24 at 3.14.22 PM.heic; ATT00001.txt;

*This message is from an External Sender

Sir,

Referencing the attached figure, does I02 refer to the plan to connect Caldwell Rd E with West Valley HWY E?

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.

From: Katie Afichuk <katie.afichuk@kidder.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 10:49 AM
To: comdev
Subject: Edgewood Updates

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

***This message is from an External Sender**

Hi there!

My name is Katie, I am a fairly new resident in Edgewood! I would love to get more information on the Comprehensive development plan, I am a licensed commercial broker at Kidder Mathews and am excited to see what comes of the retail and office spaces in the area.

I was also very curious on how I can get more involved in the community when it comes to town meetings and so on, very interested in getting my foot in the door!

Thanks again!

Katie Afichuk

Client Services Coordinator to The Frame Team

KIDDER MATHEWS

1201 Pacific Ave, Suite 1400, Tacoma, WA 98402

T 253.722.1430 | C 253.709.8878

katie.afichuk@kidder.com | [The Frame Team](#) | [kidder.com](https://www.kidder.com)

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.



Re: Long Term Intersection Projects - I02

From John Brauneis <john.brauneis@gmail.com>
Date Fri 10/25/2024 9:18 AM
To Jeremy Metzler <jeremy@cityofedgewood.org>

***This message is from an External Sender**

Mr. Metzler,
Thank you for your time and effort on behalf of our community! The rumor mill had been spinning out future "plans" for the past few years and I'm relieved that they were groundless. We found the open house to be well worth our time. Thank you!
Kindest,
John
Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 25, 2024, at 08:44, Jeremy Metzler <jeremy@cityofedgewood.org> wrote:

Mr. Brauneis – I02 refers to improvements at Caldwell and 129th, specifically adjusting the grades to address neighborhood complaints about scraping of vehicles, as well as to enable the City and it's contractors to properly maintain 129th Ave E. More details are available on Page 69 of the Capital Improvement Plan, Project T-13, found here: <https://edgewoodwa.portal.civicclerk.com/event/840/files/attachment/5568>. Please let me know if you have any other questions, and thank you for reaching out!

Jeremy Metzler, P.E. | Community Development Director

<image001.jpg>

Direct: 253-831-4266 | **City Hall:** 253-952-3299

<http://cityofedgewood.org>

2224 104th Ave E., Edgewood, WA 98372

*Working Hours: Tuesday through Friday, 7:30a to 5:30p, **Limited on Mondays***

NOTICE OF PUBLIC DISCLOSURE: This e-mail is public domain. Any correspondence from or to this e-mail account may be a public record. Accordingly, this e-mail, in whole or in part, may be subject to disclosure pursuant to RCW 42.56, regardless of any claim of confidentiality or privilege asserted by an external party.

From: John Brauneis <john.brauneis@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2024 3:25 PM
To: Jeremy Metzler <jeremy@cityofedgewood.org>
Subject: Long Term Intersection Projects - I02

***This message is from an External Sender**

Sir,

Referencing the attached figure, does I02 refer to the plan to connect Caldwell Rd E with West Valley HWY E?

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.



Outlook

Comments on Proposed Edgewood Comp Plan

From FRED ALBERT <fmalbert@msn.com>

Date Mon 10/28/2024 2:54 PM

To Jeremy Metzler <jeremy@cityofedgewood.org>

 1 attachments (218 KB)

Proposed City of Edgewood Comp Plan comments.pdf;

***This message is from an External Sender**

Thank you for the open house meeting.

Respectfully,
Fred Albert

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.

Comments on the 2024 Comprehensive Plan

Proposed Zoning Map:

- Looking at the proposed zoning map and the Town Center, it is stated mixed use with residential on upper floors with commercial on the ground level. Note that the economic section always starts out with restaurants as the example of commercial use. How will this plan accommodate businesses like a grocery store? Edgewood's lack of a grocery store has been brought up on multiple occasions.

Transportation:

- How will our plan integrate with the surrounding towns using multiple modes of transportation?
- Having ridden my bike to get to the Sounder is not for the faint hearted especially at 5:30 in the morning or coming home in the evening. When the light rail gets to Tacoma from Federal Way, how will we be able to use that service?
- **Looking at the Sound Transit website, I found this statement:**
 - The Tacoma Dome Link Extension (TDLE) project is currently in the environmental review phase of planning. As part of this phase, Sound Transit develops conceptual design and prepares an Environmental Impact Statement that evaluates the potential impacts of the project. Through this work, **we found the need to consider more station options in Fife and an additional route and associated station options in the South Federal Way/Milton area.** There are no other alternatives being proposed in Tacoma. The city should be advocating with Milton on placement of stations for the light rail.
- The plan calls for being environmentally aware, but at this point it seems like it is heavily focused the individual car if you don't live along the Meridian corridor.

Growth:

- At the open house last week, the subject of school capacity came up and it was assured that the the city was working with the school districts (principally Puyallup), on how to accommodate the growth. What is the plan on how to remodel and increase student capacity when we only have one school (Northwood) that connected to sewer? What that means is Mt View elementary, Edgemont and Hedding are on septic systems.

Respectfully,

Fred Albert

12115 45th Street Ct. E.

Edgewood, WA 98372

Morgan Dörner

From: Richard <rbeckhamii@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2024 8:25 AM
To: comdev
Subject: Concerns for Town Hall Meeting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Morgan

*This message is from an External Sender

Hello,

Here are several concerns that I would like addressed in the meeting next week.

Will citizens be forced to pay tens of thousands of dollars to convert to sewer when most of us are on septic?

The changes to zoning do not seem to affect the bigger lots east of Meridian, so does this allow developers or individuals to buy lots in other pre-existing neighborhoods, bulldoze the houses, and build townhomes or condos where they weren't previously allowed?

Will any current ordinances, particularly for noise pollution, change as the population grows?

Richard Beckham

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.

From: Sears, Tricia (DNR) <Tricia.Sears@dnr.wa.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 8, 2024 1:42 PM
To: Morgan Dorner
Cc: Sears, Tricia (DNR); Guida, Eric (COM)
Subject: Edgewood's Comprehensive Plan Amendment update (Commerce ID# 2024-S-7563): WGS comments

***This message is from an External Sender**

11/8/24

Hello Morgan,

In keeping with the interagency correspondence principles, I am providing you with comments on Edgewood's Comprehensive Plan Amendment update (Commerce ID# 2024-S-7563).

For this proposal submitted via Planview, I looked at the proposal and focused on areas related to WGS work. Of note, but not limited to, I look for language around the geologically hazardous areas, mineral resource lands, mining, climate change, and natural hazards mitigation plans.

Specifically in this proposal, I reviewed the Draft 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update PDF. I looked at the Introduction, Natural Environment Element, and Land Use Element. Overall, a nicely written document.

In the Natural Environment Element, the introduction is very nice. Thank you for including information about the legislation, hazard mitigation plans, geologic hazards, and climate change.

Figure 1 Steep Slopes, Figure 2 Flood Hazards, Figure 3 Wetlands are maps for critical areas. Under geologically hazardous areas, the hazards are erosion, steep slopes, landslides, seismic, and volcanic mudslide (lahars). Suggest mentioning/referring to the related map, Figure 1, for the steep slopes description. Maps of the other hazards are not included. If you have maps for those hazards, suggest including a reference to them.

Goal NA-5 looks good.

In the Land Use Element, it's good that there is a reference to additional information in the Natural Environment Element.

Below, I include our usual language for future endeavors.

Recognizing the limitations of the current proposals, I want to mention that it would be great for you to consider these in current or future work, be it in your comprehensive plan, development code, and SMP updates, and in your work in general:

- Consider adding a reference to WAC 365-190-120 geologically hazardous areas for definitions in other areas besides the CAO. In addition, consider adding a reference to WAC 365-196-480 for natural resource lands.

- Consider adding a reference to the WGS Geologic Information Portal in other areas besides the CAO. If you have not checked our interactive database, the WGS Geologic Information Portal, lately, you may wish to do so. [Geologic Information Portal | WA - DNR](#)
- If you have not checked out our Geologic Planning page, you may wish to do so. [Geologic Planning | WA - DNR](#)

Thank you for considering our comments. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me. For your convenience, if there are no concerns or follow-up discussion, you may consider these comments to be final as of the 60-day comment deadline of 12/3/24.

Cheerio,
Tricia

Tricia R. Sears (she/her/hers)
Geologic Planning Liaison
Washington Geological Survey (WGS)
Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR)
Cell: 360-628-2867 | Email: tricia.sears@dnr.wa.gov

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.

From: DFW R6SSplanning <R6SSplanning@dfw.wa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2024 10:02 AM
To: Jeremy Metzler; comdev
Cc: Lentes, Gwendolen A (DFW); Winter, Elliott (DFW); Bryant, Jessica (DFW); Penk, Miles A (DFW); Eberly, Jennifer C (DFW); Whittaker, Kara A (DFW); Berejikian, Marian (DFW); DFW R6SSplanning
Subject: WDFW Comments on City of Edgewood's Comprehensive Plan
Attachments: [WDFW Letter For Edgewood Comprehensive Plan.pdf](#)

***This message is from an External Sender**

Hello Jeremy Metzler,

Please see the attached letter for WDFW comments on the City of Edgewood's 2024 Comprehensive Plan draft update. We request that these comments be considered and included in the public comment record for this update. Additionally, we request that this letter be added to the public input sections of both the December 9th Planning Commission meeting and the December 10th City Council meeting.

If there are any questions or concerns regarding our comments, don't hesitate to reach out for clarity.

Thank you,

Jessica Bryant (she/her)
Regional Land Use Lead – Region 6
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
Jessica.Bryant@dfw.wa.gov
(564) 669-4755

This is an external email. Please use caution when opening any attachments.



State of Washington
DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE
Coastal Region • Region 6 • 48 Devonshire Road, Montesano, WA 98563-9618
Telephone: (360) 249-4628 • Fax: (360) 249-1229

November 27, 2024

City of Edgewood
ATTN: Jeremy Metzler, PE, Community Development Director
2224 104th Ave East
Edgewood, Washington 98372

Subject: WDFW Comments on the City of Edgewood 2024 Draft Comprehensive Plan, Case ID 2022-C-304

Dear Mr. Metzler,

On behalf of the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), we offer our comments on the 2024 draft Natural Environment chapter of the City of Edgewood Comprehensive Plan as part of the current periodic update under the Growth Management Act (GMA). WDFW is dedicated to preserving, protecting, and perpetuating the state's fish, wildlife, and ecosystems while providing sustainable fish and wildlife recreational and commercial opportunities.

In recognition of our responsibilities, we submit the following comments for the City of Edgewood's 2024 draft comprehensive plan updates; acknowledging other comments may be offered in the future. We strive to maintain contact throughout this update process and look forward to engagement opportunities in the future.

Natural Environment Element

Draft Language (with WDFW suggestions in red)	WDFW comment
Figure 3: Critical Areas - Wetlands	Jovita Stream is only shown as a wetland on this map. Due to the City of Edgewood adopting WAC 222-16-030 as the water typing system, the location of the Type-F Jovita Stream should be named to this map. WAC 222 is for forest practices by DNR and the water typing system for the forested areas of the state. The maps developed for these water types may be unreliable within urban areas. WDFW

	recommends using the SPTH₂₀₀ GIS mapping tool as an alternative to the DNR map. The Site Potential Tree Height at age 200 (SPTH ₂₀₀) tool follows the best available science outlined in WDFW's Volume 2: Management Recommendations for protecting the full functions and values of riparian management zones
<i>Water – Streams and Creeks: ...Coho salmon, steelhead and cutthroat are present in Jovita Creek, Simons Creek and Wapato Creek. Coho and steelhead spawn in Simons Creek and Jovita Creek.</i>	There has been documented chinook spawning in Simons Creek in 2021 and 2022 by the Puyallup Tribe Fisheries. The SalmonScape map shows that Jovita Creek and Wapato Creek could also support chinook spawning. We'd recommend that the City update this section to reflect this documented and potential fish use.
<p>NA. 1c: <i>Maintain and implement development regulations that conserve and protect the functions and values of critical areas, updating development regulations as necessary to respond to advances in the best available science and changing conditions in the City.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Give special consideration to protecting all critical areas that provide or contribute to habitat for anadromous fish.</i> 	<p>WDFW supports this policy and Edgewood's commitment to best available science. Best available science emphasizes the importance of all streams, not just fish bearing streams, for full riparian ecosystem function. Both fish bearing and non-fish bearing streams contribute to aquatic and riparian functions that provide habitat and movement corridors for wildlife. Non-fish bearing streams were found to support fish bearing streams by contributing to the stream system's matter, energy, and by providing cool water sources for downstream reaches along with providing movement corridors for both aquatic and terrestrial wildlife.</p> <p>See WDFW's Riparian Ecosystems, Volume 1: Science Synthesis and Management Implications, and Riparian Ecosystems, Volume 2: Management Recommendations for further details regarding why and how all streams should be protected.</p>
NA. 2a: <i>Work with neighboring jurisdictions and other partners to maintain and restore natural hydrological functions on a drainage-basin level.</i>	WDFW supports this policy but we suggest strengthening this draft language by calling out the watershed plans that the City of Edgewood is involved with. Examples would be to include a statement about the Watershed Restoration and Enhancement Plan: WRIA 10 – Puyallup-White Watershed , the Puyallup and Chambers Salmon

	<p>Recovery Lead Entity or others partnerships that Edgewood participates in.</p>
<p>NA. 4a: Plan for and preserve habitat areas, including wildlife corridors and areas with healthy native ecosystems, through development regulations <i>based on best available science</i> and as part of the Parks, Open Space and Recreation Plan.</p>	<p>WDFW supports this policy as preserving and protecting wildlife corridors aligns with our mission policy. However, we do encourage the city to strengthen the language of this policy by stating that development regulations should be based on best available science.</p> <p>For resources on wildlife corridors, please see WDFW's Habitat connectivity website, the Washington Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Working Group, as well as Wildlife Habitat Connectivity Consideration in Fish Barrier Removal Projects.</p>
<p>NA. 5a: Encourage new development to locate outside of geological and flood hazard areas <i>while also taking climate resilient assessments into consideration</i>.</p>	<p>We suggest that climate lead analyses be considered when evaluating where new development will be located. Reviews that consider the changing flood zones may prevent future negative impacts to local developments. Please see the Climate Mapping for a Resilient Washington, as well as FEMA's Resilience Analysis and Planning Tool (RAPT).</p>
<p>Goal NA.6: Protect and enhance the City's tree canopy.</p>	<p>We support this goal and the policies that will guide the City to meet this goal. To help support this goal, we recommend the City look into the tree canopy studies conducted for the Puget Sound Washington Urban Canopy Project and by the City of Tacoma to determine how these studies can aid Edgewood's tree canopy work. The City of Everett created a Tree Keeper that calculates carbon dioxide uptake, stormwater control, and air pollution reduced from trees that may also be helpful for the city. Other resources to review include WDFW's change detection tree canopy data, the WA DNR website and WDFW's Habitat at Home program. We also encourage the city to develop a monitoring and adaption program that tracks tree canopy impacts over time and addresses stressors related to tree canopy impacts.</p> <p>WDFW has identified Oregon white oaks and its tree canopy as a priority habitat which should be</p>

	protected in the City’s municipal code. Please see our PHS guidance, Best management practices for mitigation impacts to Oregon white oak priority habitat (2024) and the Summary Guide , focused on the land use impacts to Oregon white oaks, strategies to avoid, minimize and compensate for impacts, along with designing and implementing a mitigation plan for unavoidable impacts.
--	--

Land Use Element

Draft Policy Number and Language (with WDFW suggestions in red)	WDFW comment
<p><i>LU. 3j:</i> <i>Preserve and encourage open space as a dominant element of the community's character through parks, trails, water features, cemeteries and other significant properties that provide public benefit.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Explore and protect local habitat connectivity by identifying opportunities to expand habitat protections and improve habitat connectivity as open space.</i> 	<p>As a part of open space, we recommend that the City of Edgewood include a statement about local and regional habitat connectivity as a new sub-policy. This sub-policy can help the City meet its tree canopy goal (Goal NA. 6) while also meeting the requirements of open space outlined in WAC 365-196-335 and fostering climate resilience using open space corridors based on the Department of Commerce’s Menu of Measures. Improvements to habitat connectivity reduce habitat fragmentation and can reduce wildlife conflicts since wildlife have a movement corridor.</p> <p>Resources for developing open space corridors include Landscape Planning for Washington’s Wildlife: Managing for Biodiversity in Developing Areas and Land Use Planning for salmon, steelhead and trout: A land use planner’s guide to salmonid habitat protection and recovery.</p>
<p><i>[Previous] LU. 7e:</i> <i>Support low impact development measures.</i></p>	<p>This policy was removed from the Land Use Goal 7 but it appears no comparable policy has replaced it. WDFW would encourage the City of Edgewood to re-include this policy for low-impact development (LID). LID can have cumulative impacts across the city to improve the urban environment while reducing impacts to the natural environment. The Department of Commerce’s Menu of Measures and Ecosystem Services Program provide resources on how to incorporate LID into development plans.</p>

<p><u>LU. 7e:</u> <i>Protect the quality and quantity of water resources.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Prohibit creating new fish passage barriers and use multi-benefit redesigns when removing existing barriers.</i> 	<p>We support efforts to protect water resources and encourage the City of Edgewood to include efforts to address fish barriers at the same time. WDFW resources regarding fish barrier location and type can be found on our website. It is important to collaborate with WSDOT and neighboring jurisdictions to plan and prioritize culvert upgrades to ensure not only fish passage benefits, but adequate projected flood flows and stormwater passage. We suggest culvert upgrades include other design considerations, especially where terrestrial species and pedestrian connectivity can be restored simultaneously (i.e., with wider bridges or culverts). Please see WDFW's climate-change-resilient culvert webpage and Incorporating Climate Change into the Design of Water Crossing Structures: Final Project Report (2017) for resources on how to incorporate climate-resiliency into culvert designs.</p>
--	---

Parks, Recreation, Open Space and Trails Element

Draft Policy Number and Language (with WDFW suggestions in red)	WDFW comment
<p><u>PR. 1c:</u> <i>Emphasize low maintenance and operation requirements as a high priority in the design of new park facilities. Use low-impact development and retrofitting where possible.</i></p>	<p>As previously mentioned, WDFW encourages the City to use LID processed where possible during development. While there maybe small-scale projects, the cumulative impact of widespread implementation can be significant. These cumulative impacts can aid the City to reach other environmental sound goals and can assist in watershed-wide salmon recovery goals.</p>
<p><u>PR 5.a:</u> <i>Work to maintain and, where feasible, improve ecosystem function and habitat connectivity in natural areas in the park system.</i></p>	<p>As mentioned in our comments for <u>NA. 4a</u> and <u>LU. 3j</u> above, habitat connectivity is especially important and can be achieved through the use of open spaces across jurisdictions. We encourage the City to expand upon this policy to call out the importance of habitat connectivity and to use the resources provided above to determine where habitat connectivity can occur.</p>

Thank you for taking the time to consider our recommendations for your comprehensive plan to better reflect the best available science for fish and wildlife habitat and ecosystems. We value the relationship we have with your jurisdiction and the opportunity to work collaboratively with you throughout this periodic update cycle. If you have any questions, need our technical assistance or resources at any time during this process, please don't hesitate to contact me at 360-280-6622 or Elliott.Winter@dfw.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Elliott Winter', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Elliott Winter
Assistant Regional Habitat Program Manager
1111 Washington St. SE
Olympia, WA 98501

Cc: Gwen Lentes, Regional Habitat Program Manager (Gwendolen.Lentes@dfw.wa.gov)
Jessica Bryant, Regional Land Use Lead (Jessica.Bryant@dfw.wa.gov)
Kara Whittaker, LUCP Section Manager (Kara.Whittaker@dfw.wa.gov)
Marian Berejikian, Environmental Planner (Marian.Berejikian@dfw.wa.gov)
Miles Penk, Area Habitat Biologist (Miles.Penk@dfw.wa.gov)
Jennifer Eberly, Habitat Biologist (Jennifer.Eberly@dfw.wa.gov)

Comprehensive Plan 2024 Periodic Update



Print Name: Shawn Olsen

Reason for Interest: ☒ Citizen ☐ Business Owner ☐ City of Edgewood Representative

Check all that apply ☐ Non-profit ☐ Government agency rep ☐ Other, specify below:

Sign up for updates by providing
preferred contact information below:

E-mail Address: shawn.olsen@gmail.com

Phone Number: 206 661 0171

Mailing Address: 2612 112th Ave E.
Edgewood WA 98372

Comments/ Questions/ Notes

Reach us at comdev@cityofedgewood.org
or (253) 952-3299

N. Shawn Olsen

re: 215 + 219 105th ave E.

I would like to request rezone to BP
BP is presently on both sides of my
properties



Comprehensive Plan 2024 Periodic Update



Print Name: Jared Farmer

Reason for Interest: ☒ Citizen ☐ Business Owner ☐ City of Edgewood Representative

Check all that apply ☐ Non-profit ☐ Government agency rep ☐ Other, specify below:

Sign up for updates by providing
preferred contact information below:

E-mail Address: jfarfar.mail@gmail.com

Phone Number : _____

Mailing Address: 2809 105th Ave E
Edgewood, WA 98372

Comments/ Questions/ Notes

Reach us at comdev@cityofedgewood.org

or (253) 952-3299

Very interested in seeing more urban forestry!
(especially with the increasing temperatures)

Note on septic vs sewer -> My lot (~9,800sqft) cannot be utilized for much gardening/growing trees as the septic drainfield takes up most of my backyard.

Staff Clarification Note for Comment Legibility:

"Very interested in seeing more urban forestry! (especially with the increasing temperatures)

Note on septic vs. sewer -> My lot (~9,800sqft) cannot be utilized for much gardening/growing trees as the septic drainfield takes up most of my backyard."



Project page: cityofedgewood.org/434

Comprehensive Plan 2024 Periodic Update



Print Name: Dmitriy Karatsupa

Reason for Interest: ☒ Citizen ☐ Business Owner ☐ City of Edgewood Representative

Check all that apply ☐ Non-profit ☐ Government agency rep ☐ Other, specify below:

Sign up for updates by providing
preferred contact information below:

E-mail Address: dtk0779@gmail.com

Phone Number: (253) 632-5251

Mailing Address: 6008 Park St E
Fife WA 98424

Comments/ Questions/ Notes

Reach us at comdev@cityofedgewood.org

or (253) 952-3299

12425 20th St E, Edgewood, WA 98372

Looking for zone change



Project page: cityofedgewood.org/434

Comprehensive Plan 2024 Periodic Update



Print Name: Lawrence Denison

Reason for Interest: ☒ Citizen ☐ Business Owner ☐ City of Edgewood Representative

Check all that apply ☐ Non-profit ☐ Government agency rep ☐ Other, specify below:

Sign up for updates by providing
preferred contact information below: _____

E-mail Address: NMD1924@MSN.COM

Phone Number: 253 226 8302

Mailing Address: 12419 51ST CT E Edgewood
WA 98372

Comments/ Questions/ Notes

Reach us at comdev@cityofedgewood.org
or (253) 952-3299

PN's 042016-1102, -5012, -1121

Zoning To MUR.

Make the same as other property I

Staff Clarification Note for Comment Legibility:

"Parcel Numbers 0420016-1102, -5012, -1121

Zoning to MUR

Make the same as other property I own next to these"



Comprehensive Plan 2024 Periodic Update



Print Name: Scott and Jennifer Bartolson

Reason for Interest: ☒ Citizen ☒ Business Owner ☐ City of Edgewood Representative

Check all that apply ☐ Non-profit ☐ Government agency rep ☐ Other, specify below:

Sign up for updates by providing
preferred contact information below:

E-mail Address: jennifere@cityofedgewood.org

Phone Number : _____

Mailing Address: 10312 29th ST CT E

Comments/ Questions/ Notes

Reach us at comdev@cityofedgewood.org

or (253) 952-3299

We would like to request the following parcels be rezoned from SF-3 to MUR, Parcel 0420103079, 0420103141 and 0420103142 to be zoned consistently with the other parcels we own. (Parcels 0420103074, 0420103013, 0420103065, 0420103078, and 0420103040.)



Comprehensive Plan 2024 Periodic Update



Print Name: Paul W. Joos, M.D.

Reason for Interest: ☐ Citizen ☒ Business Owner ☐ City of Edgewood Representative

Check all that apply ☐ Non-profit ☐ Government agency rep ☐ Other, specify below:

Sign up for updates by providing
preferred contact information below:

E-mail Address: _____

Phone Number: 425-417-9955

Mailing Address: 9837 Hilltop Road
Bellevue, WA 98004

Comments/ Questions/ Notes

Reach us at comdev@cityofedgewood.org
or (253) 952-3299

The properties in the City of Edgewood need to be rezoned to expand use from straight commercial. For example vehicle storage and ministorage. Thank you.

